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COMMON GOOD ESTIMATES THE COST OF A ONE-YEAR DELAY OF THE GATEWAY RAIL TUNNEL PROJECT AT $1.6 BILLION AND 366,000 TONS OF CO2

New York, NY – March 12, 2018 – Common Good, the nonpartisan reform coalition, today released its estimate of the cost of a one-year delay in construction of the Gateway Rail Tunnel Project under the Hudson River. This estimate builds upon Common Good’s landmark 2016 report “Billions for Red Tape,” which found that improved permitting for the Gateway Project could save taxpayers billions and avoid significant environmental harm. That report is credited with speeding up the approval process. Funding for the Project, which is vital to the economy of the Northeast, is being debated by the federal government.

Below is the Common Good estimate. To speak with Common Good Chair Philip K. Howard, author of “Billions for Red Tape,” contact Emma McKinstry at 203-912-7174 or emckinstry@highimpactpartnering.com.

Common Good Estimates the Cost of a One-Year Delay of the Gateway Rail Tunnel Project at $1.6 Billion and 366,000 Tons of CO2

The Gateway Rail Tunnel Project, which involves constructing new train tunnels under the Hudson River to connect New Jersey to Manhattan, is essential to avoid economic disruption in the Northeast Corridor. The two current tunnels are over a century old and perilously fragile; service outages are becoming increasingly common. The economy of the northeastern United States depends on the efficient movement of people both on the Northeast Corridor rail connection linking Boston to Washington, DC and between suburban New Jersey and Manhattan.

As outlined in Common Good’s 2016 report “Billions for Red Tape,” delaying this project imposes enormous potential costs. In addition to rising construction prices, these costs include opportunity costs and the potential harm of a partial tunnel shutdown.

The combined price tag for one year of delay in building the Gateway Rail Tunnel Project (also called the Hudson Tunnel Project) is estimated by Common Good to be $1.6 billion and 366,000 tons of CO2.

Increased construction costs:

According to the Gateway Program Development Corporation, each year of delay in building the
tunnels escalates the project’s cost by 3.5 percent. The Hudson Tunnel Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) claims that the combined cost of building new tunnels under the Hudson and rehabilitating the existing tunnels is currently $12.9 billion.

Construction cost increase from one year of delay: 3.5 percent yearly premium on $12.9 billion construction cost = $450 million

**Opportunity costs can be broken down into the following categories:**

*Construction stimulus benefits.* The Hudson Tunnel DEIS estimates that the project would generate over 72,000 jobs in the region during the construction phase (for a total of nearly $6.4 billion in payroll), and nearly $18.7 billion in business activity during that same seven-year period. The DEIS also concluded that the construction phase of the project would generate around $380 million in federal, state, and local tax revenue.

*Economic benefits of increased rail capacity.* In order to avoid a lengthier permitting process, the DEIS does not calculate the benefits of improved rail capacity on the local economy. However, a post-mortem report on the substantially similar “Accessing the Region’s Core” project (ARC) by the Government Accountability Office concluded that economic growth attendant to improved transportation infrastructure would generate $120 million a year in regional business activity over the long term, and that home prices in regions served by the project would increase by an average of 4.2 percent following the project’s completion, resulting in an additional $375 million a year for local governments from increased property tax revenue.

*Environmental benefits of increased rail capacity.* The analysis for ARC estimated that increased capacity from additional tunnels would translate into 80,000 additional train trips and a 4.9 percent decrease in daily car trips across the Hudson, some 590,000 fewer miles driven per day. Based on the same metrics, the Hudson Tunnel Project would ultimately save over 1.1 million miles per day, reducing automobile traffic across the Hudson by nearly ten percent.

Based on the analysis in these two prior environmental impact statements, the opportunity costs are estimated as follows for a year of delay in building the Hudson Tunnel Project:

Delayed construction benefits: ($6.4 billion in construction income + $3.7 billion in net business activity ($18.7 billion in business activity less 80 percent) + $380 million in tax revenue on construction activity) divided by 7 and discounted at 3 percent for 7 years = $281 million

---

3. Id. at S-10.
4. Id. at 7-15.
5. Because we cannot determine the net benefits of what the “Accessing the Region's Core” project (ARC) analysis calls increases in “business activity,” here and below we discount that “business activity” estimates by 80 percent.
7. Id.
9. Here we assume (for simplicity) that all benefits are enjoyed equally in each year of the seven projected years of construction, such that a delay of one year would effect one-seventh of the total benefits. We then discount that amount
Loss in general business activity: $120 million in business activity yearly discounted by 80 percent x 1 year$^{10} = $24 million

Lost property tax revenue: $375 million in tax revenue yearly x 1 year = $375 million

Delay in environmental benefits: (401 million additional yearly vehicle miles driven generate 181,898 tons of CO$_2$ emission per year$^{11}$) x 1 year = 181,898 tons of CO$_2$ released

Total opportunity costs of one year of delay: $680 million and 181,898 tons of CO$_2$

**Shutdown costs are estimated as follows:**

The fragile state of the existing rail tunnels, which were damaged by Superstorm Sandy in 2012, represents a substantial economic and environmental risk to the region. Any shutdown before the new tunnels are completed will create gridlock throughout the region. Delay in construction increases that risk.

Shutdown costs are a combination of a) lost productivity as commuters replace lost train trips with buses and cars and cause gridlock for all vehicular traffic throughout the region and b) environmental costs as commuters shift from efficient trains to polluting automobiles. Project officials estimate that further degradation of the two existing trans-Hudson rail tunnels will result, sooner rather than later, in a 75 percent decrease in capacity when one tunnel must be shut down for repairs,$^{12}$ or a loss of over 131,000 train trips per day (65,500 each way).$^{13}$ The closure for repairs is estimated to be for one year.$^{14}$ Once repairs are complete for one tunnel, the other must be shut down for repairs. At the rate used in ARC’s permitting documents, shutting down one tunnel translates to nearly one million additional miles driven in the region per day. The three Hudson River automobile crossings already exceed capacity during rush hours. Here, we calculate the congestion effects using the generally accepted Balanced Transportation Analyzer traffic model, and conclude that one year of shutdown would result in $2.3 billion in lost productivity costs alone. We assume that the new tunnels could be completed in seven years, and that there is a 25 percent chance that one year of delay would cause a shutdown during the final year of construction that otherwise would not have occurred.

Additional costs from a one-year shutdown of one tunnel$^{15}$ (assumes a 25 percent chance of tunnel

---

10 Here and for lost property tax revenue, we assume that these benefits would carry on in perpetuity, and therefore a delay of one year equals a total loss of that year’s potential benefit.
13 This is a conservative estimate. The DEIS suggests that a “worst case” scenario could disrupt over 200,000 trips per day. DEIS, supra note 2, at 1-7.
14 Here too, this estimate is conservative. At the time of the publication of “Billions for Red Tape,” Amtrak officials claimed that each tunnel would require one year of repair; however, recently they have begun to suggest that each tunnel will now require 18 months of repair. See, e.g., Elise Young and Demetrios Pogkas, “How Trump’s Hudson Tunnel Feud Threatens the National Economy,” Bloomberg, March 5, 2018.
15 As noted above, Amtrak estimates that tunnel repair work will take at least one year per tunnel. In this scenario we assume that, even if one tunnel fails, the other will remain serviceable until the new tunnels are completed in Spring 2026 (assuming a construction start date of Spring 2019 following one year of delay, and a seven-year construction
closure caused by one year of delay):

Lost productivity due to 50,000 additional automobiles crossing the Hudson into Manhattan daily: $2.3 billion per year x 25 percent chance discounted by 3 percent over 7 years = $467.5 million

Environmental effects: 736,000 additional tons of CO₂ released yearly x 25 percent chance = 184,000 tons of CO₂ released

Business losses from gridlock: Huge, but difficult to calculate

Total cost of possible shutdown: at least $467.5 million and 184,000 tons of CO₂

**Total cost of a one-year delay:**

Combined price tag for one year of delay in building the Hudson Tunnel Project: $1.6 billion and 366,000 tons of CO₂

*Common Good* ([www.commongood.org](http://www.commongood.org)) is a nonpartisan reform coalition that believes individual responsibility, not rote bureaucracy, must be the organizing principle of government. It presents proposals to radically simplify government and restore the ability of officials and citizens alike to use common sense in daily decisions. The Founder and Chair of Common Good is Philip K. Howard, a lawyer and author of *The Rule of Nobody* (W. W. Norton) and *The Death of Common Sense* (Random House), among other books.